

To: Chergo, Jennifer[Chergo.Jennifer@epa.gov]
Cc: McComb, Martin[McComb.Martin@epa.gov]; Cerise, Kathryn[Hernandez.Kathryn@epa.gov]; Piggott, Amelia[Piggott.Amelia@epa.gov]; Christensen, Stanley[Christensen.Stanley@epa.gov]; Williams, Laura[williams.laura@epa.gov]; Wilder, Scott[Wilder.Scott@epa.gov]; Jim.blankenau@parkcity.org[Jim.blankenau@parkcity.org]; Phyllis Robinson[probinson@parkcity.org]; dallison@utah.gov[dallison@utah.gov]; DURBAN@utah.gov[DURBAN@utah.gov]
From: Urdiales, Aaron
Sent: Mon 8/10/2015 6:30:06 PM
Subject: Re: draft Q&A for tomorrow's Park City meeting

I'm concerned about going into too much detail on the topic because it could really take away from the meeting. We could spend hours discussing the geology, surface water runoff, etc. difference if pressed to be more specific on what we mean.

Aaron

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 10, 2015, at 12:11 PM, Chergo, Jennifer <Chergo.Jennifer@epa.gov> wrote:

Hi all. In preparation for our meeting tomorrow night in Park City, the following is a draft answer to the most likely question that we'll get about the Animas situation:

Q. Could something like what happened in Silverton happen in Park City?

A. No because there are some significant differences. We are not dealing with acid mine drainage in Park City. The geology is different: In Park City we find lead sulfides which do not result in acid mine drainage in the same way the iron sulfides do in the Silverton area. Also, in Park City, we are mainly dealing with surface water runoff. Finally, the mine drainage tunnels are largely maintained in Park City, as opposed those in Silverton which have long been abandoned.

How does this sound?

Jennifer Chergo

Office of Communication and Public Involvement

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8

1595 Wynkoop Street

Denver, CO 80202

1 (800) 227-8917 / (303) 312-6601